IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

OCT 2 1 2003

In re Application of:

Attorney Docket No. 26.2.B98/USA

Jeff R. McKenzie et al.

Serial No. 10/014,916

Filed 10/22/2001

For ROTARY SPRINKLER

Group Art Unit 3752

Examiner S. Ganey

OFFICIAL

## RESPONSE TO ELECTION REQUIREMENT

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Office Action of October 2, 2003, the Applicant hereby elects with traverse Species I for prosecution in this application. All of claims 1-101 are readable on this species.

Figures 27 and 28 do not depict different species, but illustrate different embodiments of an oscillating drive that is used IN THE SPECIES SHOWN IN FIGS. 1-26. Fig. 27 shows an intermittent oscillating drive while Fig. 28 shows a continuous oscillating drive. ONE DRIVE OR THE OTHER IS USED WITHIN the sprinkler shown in Figs. 1-26. Claim 55 is directed to a method of manufacturing a sprinkler in both versions.

Page - 1 -

Certificate of Transmission under 37 C.F.R. 1.8. I hereby certify that this correspondence is being fagginile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on

James W. Miller

The election requirement as to Figs. 27 and 28 is traversed as what is depicted in those figures is part of the species of Figs. 1-26. Note that the precise type of oscillating drive used in the sprinkler, i.e. intermittent vs continuous, is not claimed except in method claim 55. However, even method claim 55 is believed to read on the species of Figs. 1-26 as the sprinkler depicted in Figs. 1-26 is built according to the method of claim 55.

Claim 11 is directed both to the species of Figs. 1-26 and the species of Figs. 31-32. Thus, claim 11 has also been listed as being readable on the elected species.

While all of claims 1-101 are believed to be readable on the species of Figs. 1-26, THE CLAIMS ARE DIRECTED TO DIFFERENT FEATURES OF THE ELECTED SPECIES. For example, claims 1-27 are all directed to arc indicators or arc indicating, claims 28-39 to an arc adjustment system comprising a fixed and a movable stop, claims 40-48 to an oscillating sprinkler that coverts to full circle operation, and so on. However, the current Office Action is only a requirement to elect a species, which the Applicant has done herein, and not to elect a particular group of claims in response to a restriction requirement.

Accordingly, to summarize, the Applicant elects the species of Figs. 1-26. Claims 1-101 are readable on the elected species.

Respectfully submitted,

October 20, 2003

James W. Miller

Régistration No. 27,661

Shite 1005

Foshay Tower

821 Marquette Avenue

Minneapolis, MN 55402

Telephone (612) 338-5915

Page - 2 -

## James W. Miller, Esq.

Suite 1005 Foshay Tower 821 Marquette Avenue Minneapolis, MN 55402

Telephone (612) 338-5915 Fax (612) 338-5989

## RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

OCT 2 1 2003





return.

To:	Exr.	xr. S. Ganey, Art Unit 3752		From:	James W. Miller, Esq.	
Fax:	1-703-872-9302			Pages:	3 including cover sheet	
Phone	×			Date:	10/20/03	·
Re:	Serial Number 10/014,916			CC:		
🗀 Vrg	ent	☐ For Review	☐ Please Comment		☐ Please Reply	☐ Please Recycle
• Con						nd contains confidential